PROPOSED RULES

(2) Chlorpheniramine has a pharmacological profile which is similar to the other sedating antihistamines being proposed for control and to amphetamine, methamphetamine, and phendimetrazine. This general similarity suggests that all of these drugs may be reasonably substituted for each other for therapeutic or abuse purposes.

(3) Chlorpheniramine is covered by a new drug application approved by the Food and Drug Administration for use in treatment of obesity.

(4) Products containing benzphetamine, chlorpheniramine, diethylpropion, phenmetrazine or phendimetrazine have been marketed in the United States for several years. In the last 6 months, certain of these products have been reported as the subject of thefts, diversion, illicit sales, and abuse. Quantitatively, this data does not suggest a widespread problem at the present time; qualitatively, the data indicates a trend to substitute these products for amphetamine and methamphetamine preparations in abuse circles. This reinforces the belief that abuse of the pharmacologically similar drugs will increase as the amphetamines and methamphetamine become less and less available.

(5) The legislative history of the Controlled Substances Act makes clear that the Schedule is to schedule drugs based upon their potential for abuse, and "should not be required to wait until a number of lives have been destroyed or many lives have been saved before designating a drug as subject to controls." (Comprehensive Drug Abuse Prevention and Control Act of 1970, House Report 91-1444 (part 1), p. 35, Sept. 10, 1970). Discussing factors used to measure potential for abuse, the report quotes from the regulations issued under the Drug Abuse Control Amendments of 1965 (id. at p. 34):

The Director may determine that a substance has a potential for abuse because of its depressant or stimulant effect on the central nervous system or its hallucinogenic effect if:

(1) There is evidence that individuals are taking the drug or drugs containing such a substance in amounts sufficient to create a hazard to their health or to the safety of other individuals or to the community;

(2) There is significant diversion of the drug or drugs containing such a substance from legitimate drug channels;

(3) Individuals are taking the drug or drugs containing such a substance on their own initiative rather than on the basis of medical advice from a practitioner licensed by law to administer such drugs in the course of his professional practice.

The House Report goes on to say (id. at p. 35):

In speaking of "substantial" potential for abuse the term "substantial" means more than a mere scintilla of isolated abuse, but less than a preponderance. Therefore, documentary evidence of several hundred thousand dosage units of a drug have been diverted would be "substantial" evidence of abuse for such a drug, whereas several thousand dosage units of that drug are legitimately used in the same time period.

The Director has concluded from this review of the current situation that control of all anorectic drugs is desirable at this time to insure that they will not become widely abused. This scheduling will fulfill the congressional mandate to act before substantial problems have arisen. Based upon the applications and review of the Bureau of Narcotics and Dangerous Drugs and upon the scientific and medical evaluation and recommendation of the Office of Health, Education, and Welfare, received pursuant to sections 201 (a) and (b) of the Comprehensive Drug Abuse Prevention and Control Act of 1970 (21 U.S.C. 801 (a), (b)), the Director of the Bureau of Narcotics and Dangerous Drugs finds that:

1. Based on information now available, chlorpheniramine has a potential for abuse less than the drugs or other substances currently listed in schedule II. Although chemically and pharmacologically this drug is closely related to the other anorectic drugs being proposed for control and to the stimulants now listed in schedule II, present data regarding excessive use, diversion, illicit sales, and abuse of chlorpheniramine is not substantial enough to indicate that it has a potential for abuse equal to the stimulants in schedule II.

2. Chlorpheniramine has a currently accepted medical use in treatment in the United States.

(3) Abuse of chlorpheniramine may lead to high psychological dependence.

Therefore, under the authority vested in the Attorney General by section 201(a) of the Comprehensive Drug Abuse Prevention and Control Act of 1970 (21 U.S.C. 811 (a) ), and delegated to the Director of the Bureau of Narcotics and Dangerous Drugs § 100.10(b) of title 28 of the Code of Federal Regulations, the Director proposes that § 308.13 of title 21 of the Code of Federal Regulations be amended to read:

§ 308.13 Schedule III.

(b) Stimulants. Unless specifically excepted or unless listed in another schedule, any material, compound, mixture, or preparation which contains any quantity of the following substances having a stimulant effect on the central nervous system, including its salts, isomers (whether optical, position, or geometric), and salts of such isomers, when the existence of such isomers and salts of isomers is possible within the specific chemical designation:

(1) These compounds, mixtures, or preparations in dosage unit form containing any of the drugs on schedule II which compounds, mixtures, or preparations contain on Schedule II under § 100.32, and any other drug of the quantitatively competitive class in that list for those drugs or which is in the same except that it contains a lesser quantity of controlled substances...

(2) Citruses.

All interested persons are invited to submit their comments or objections in writing regarding this proposal. These comments or objections should state with particularity the issues concerning which the person desires to be heard. Comments and objections should be submitted in quintuplicate to the Hearing Clerk, Office of Chief Counsel, Bureau of Narcotics and Dangerous Drugs, Department of Justice, room 611, 1405 Eye Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20537, and must be received no later than June 7, 1973.

In the event that an interested party submits objections to this proposal which present reasonable grounds for this rule not to be finalized and requests a hearing in accordance with 21 C.F.R. § 308.45, the party will be notified by registered mail that a hearing on these objections will be held at 10 a.m. on June 11, 1973, in room 1210, 1405 Eye Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20537. If objections submitted do not present such reasonable grounds, the party will be advised by registered mail.

If no objections presenting reasonable grounds for a hearing on the proposal are received within the time limitations, and all interested parties waive or are deemed to have waived the opportunity for a hearing or to participate in the hearing, the Director may cancel the hearing and, after giving consideration to written comments, issue his final order pursuant to 21 C.F.R. § 308.48 without a hearing.

Dated May 1, 1973.

JOHN E. INGERSOLL,
Director, Bureau of Narcotics
and Dangerous Drugs.
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Food and Drug Administration for the latter three drugs, and control is pending.

Review of data reveals that these drugs produce approximately the same degree of therapeutic effect as currently scheduled anorectics, as adjuncts in weight reduction in the obese. The review indicated that the drugs diverge in several ways in other respects:
a. They are all closely related chemically, with the exception of phenmetrazine.
b. Their pharmacological profiles are closely similar, except for certain aspects of the profile of phenmetrazine.
c. Documentation of actual abuse or production of dependence in humans is irregular, but does exist for certain of the unscheduled anorectics. The tendency documentation of abuse of these drugs appears due to the fortuitous nature of reports as currently obtained and to the past easy availability of cheaper and more potent stimulants, rather than to intrinsic lack of abuse potential.
d. We note the conclusions and recommendations of the WHO Expert Committee on Drug Dependence that these drugs either be subject to control or by analogy are similar to drugs recommended for control.

certain specialized testing of fenfluramine suggests that the abuse potential of fenfluramine is less than that of the other drugs under consideration.

We, therefore, conclude that all the above named drugs possess abuse potential and for producing drug dependence, and are so information as required under the provisions of section 201(f) of the Controlled Substances Act. As provided for by section 201(a), we further recommend that the Attorney General rules add the above drugs to the schedules of the Controlled Substances Act, and recommend that the schedule for all drugs but fenfluramine be schedule III, fenfluramine appearing more appropriately controlled under the provisions of Title II.

We attach material assembled by reviewing pharmacologists within the Food and Drug Administration for its possible utility to you, and as a basis for further discussion after your scientists have reviewed our recommendations and request.

Sincerely,

RICHARD L. SEGEL,
Acting Assistant Secretary
for Health.

Upon receipt of this letter, the Bureau undertook a review of the following: (1) Materials submitted to ENDD by the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare with the letter of February 15, 1973; (2) materials submitted to the Food and Drug Administration in connection with new drug applications on these drugs; (3) published scientific and medical literature from the United States and other nations regarding these drugs; (4) selected investigatory files compiled for law enforcement purposes by the Bureau and another law enforcement agency; and (5) the legislative history of the Controlled Substances Act.

The results of this review can be summarized as follows:

(1) Clomtermine is chemically similar to amphetamine, methamphetamine, and phenmetrazine, substances currently listed in schedule II.

(2) Clomtermine has a pharmacological profile similar to the other anorectic drugs being proposed for control, and to amphetamine, methamphetamine, and phenmetrazine, substances currently listed in schedule II.

(3) The Director has concluded from this review of the current situation that control of all anorectic drugs is desirable at this time to ensure that they will not become widely abused. This scheduling will fulfill the congressional mandate to control all anorectic drugs, and will prevent the development of resistance problems.

(4) The drug or drugs containing such substances are new drugs now in Phase I of clinical trials and will be subject to abuse as drugs, and it is reasonable to assume that they may be sig-

ificant stimulants or stimulants to the community.

The Director proposes that § 308.13 of title 21 of the Code of Federal Regulations be amended to:

§ 308.13 Schedule III.

(b) Stimulants.—Unless specifically excepted or unless listed in another schedule, any material, compound, mixture, or preparation containing any quantity of the following substances having a stimulant effect on the central nervous system, including its salts, isomers (whether optical, positional, or geometric), and salts of such isomers whenever the existence of such salts, isomers, and salts of isomers is possible within the specific chemical designation:

(1) Those compounds, mixtures, or preparations in schedule IV which compounds, mixtures, or preparations were listed as a stimulant in schedule II.

(2) Those compounds, mixtures, or preparations in schedule II and under which precedents, and any other
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drug of the quantitative composition shown in that list for those drugs or which is the same except that it contains a lesser quantity of controlled substances, 1965.

(Chortines, 162)

* * * * *

Conferences have been held between the Bureau and the USV Pharmaceutical Corp., the only firm intending to market clorermine in the United States. The USV Pharmaceutical Corp. dated April 26, 1973, the manufacturer has consented to the placement of clorermine in schedule III to insure that it does not become subject to abuse in the future.

All other interested persons are invited to submit their comments or objections in writing regarding this proposal. These comments or objections should state with particularity the issues concerning which the person desires to be heard. Comments and objections should be submitted in quintuplicate to the Hearing Clerk, Office of Chief Counsel, Bureau of Narcotics and Dangerous Drugs, Department of Justice, room 611, 1405 Eye Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20537, and must be received no later than July 3, 1973.

In the event that an interested party submits objections to this proposal which present reasonable grounds for this rule not to be finalized and requests a hearing in accordance with 21 CFR 308.45, the party will be notified by registered mail that a hearing on these objections will be held at 10 a.m. on June 11, 1973, in room 1210, 1405 Eye Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20537. If objections submitted do not present such reasonable grounds, the party will be so advised by registered mail.


[FR Doc.73-9071 Filed 5-3-73;8:45 am]

SCHEDULES OF CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES

Proposed Placement of Fenfluramine in Schedule IV

On February 15, 1973, the Acting Assistant Secretary for Health, on behalf of the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare, issued the following letter to the Director of the Bureau of Narcotics and Dangerous Drugs:

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
Washington, D.C. 20201

JOHN E. INGERSOLL,
Director, Bureau of Narcotics and Dangerous Drugs, Department of Justice, 1405 Eye Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20537

Dear Mr. Ingersoll:

The Food and Drug Administration has included in a review of all drugs currently marketed or proposed for marketing in the United States for the treatment of obesity. The marketed drugs include three substances already controlled under schedule II of the Controlled Substances Act, amphetamine, methamphetamine, and phentermine. The review also included drugs currently not controlled under any schedule, the marketed drugs, diethylpropion, phenoxybenzamine, phenidiazine, chlorphentermine, and fenfluramine, and the investigational substances, clorermine, mazindol, and fenfluramine. New drug applications have been submitted to the Food and Drug Administration for the latter three drugs, and approval is pending.

Review of data reveals that these drugs produce approximately the same degree of weight reduction in obese subjects as with amphetamine, except in studies on before and after test, weight reduction in the obese. The review indicated that the drugs are comparable in other ways to schedule II drugs.

a. They are all closely related chemically, with the exception of mazindol.

b. Their pharmacological effects are closely similar, except for certain aspects of the profile of fenfluramine.

c. Documentation of actual abuse or production of dependence in humans is irregular, but does exist for certain of the uncontrolled anorectics. The similarity, documentation of abuse of these drugs appears due to the fortuitous nature of reports as currently obtained and to the past easy availability of cheaper and more potent stimulants, rather than to intrinsic lack of abuse potential.

d. We note the conclusions and recommendations of the WHO Expert Committee on Drug Dependence that these drugs either have no subject to control or may be so similar to drugs recommended for control.

e. Certain specialized testing of fenfluramine suggests that the abuse potential of fenfluramine is of a lower order of magnitude than that of the other drugs under consideration.

We, therefore, conclude that all of the above named drugs possess abuse potential and potential for producing drug dependence, and are not inferences based on the provisions of section 201(f) of the Controlled Substances Act. As provided for by section 201(a), we further request that the Attorney General issue rules adding the above drugs to the schedules of the Controlled Substances Act, and recommend that the schedule for all drugs be Schedule II in Schedule III, fenfluramine appearing more appropriately controlled under the provisions of Schedule IV.

We attach review material assembled by reviewing pharmacologists within the Food and Drug Administration for its possible utility to you, and as a basis for further discussion after your consideration have reviewed our recommendations and request.

Sincerely,

RICHARD L. EBERS, Acting Assistant Secretary for Health.

Upon receipt of this letter, the Bureau undertook a review of the following:


(2) Materials submitted to the Food and Drug Administration in connection with the new drug applications on these drugs; (3) Published scientific and medical literature on the use of these drugs in other countries; and the legislative history of the Controlled Substances Act.

The results of this review can be summarized as follows:

(1) Fenfluramine is chemically similar to and related to the other anorectics drugs being proposed for control, and to amphetamine, methamphetamine, and phencyclidine substances currently listed in Schedule II.

(2) Fenfluramine has a pharmacological profile which is similar to the other anorectics drugs being proposed for control or amphetamine, methamphetamine, mazindol, and fenfluramine. Although certain aspects of the fenfluramine profile are unique, this general similarity suggests that all of these drugs may be properly scheduled under one of the other for therapeutic or abuse purposes.

(3) Fenfluramine is covered by a new drug application filed and pending with the Food and Drug Administration for use in treatment of obesity. The FDA has informed the Bureau that approval of this new drug application is pending completion of certain administrative matters.

(4) Products containing benzphetamine, chlorphentermine, diethylpropion, phendimetrazine, or phentermine have been marketed in the United States for several years. In the last 8 months, certain of these products have been reported as the subject of thefts, diversion, illicit sales, and abuse. Quantitatively, this drug does not appear to be a problem at the present time; qualitatively, the data indicates a trend to substitute these products for amphetamine and methamphetamine preparations in abuse circles. This reinforces the belief that abuse of the pharmacologically similar drugs will increase as the amphetamines and methamphetamine become less and less available.

(5) Fenfluramine has not been marketed in the United States but has been continuously marketed in various European countries and other countries over the last 10 years. Evidence concerning possible abuse of fenfluramine in South Africa has recently been brought to the attention of the Bureau but has not yet been evaluated by the Bureau; the material has been referred to the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare for its evaluation as well.

(6) The House Report on the Controlled Substances Act discusses the problem of determining the abuse potential of a drug which has not been marketed, by quoting from regulations promulgated